

### **Remarks**

An office action was mailed on January 17, 2007. The office action made reference to USSN 10/788,539, filed on February 27, 2004, e.g., the above-captioned application. However, upon further review of the office action, it is clear that the subject application under review is NOT the above-captioned application.

The office action summary makes reference to a communication filed on February 14, 2004. As this case was not filed until February 27, 2004, clearly the office action is not referencing the above-captioned application.

The office action summary makes reference review of 9 claims in the application. The above-captioned application was filed with 21 claims, and the claims have not been subject to restriction or cancellation.

Lastly, the detailed action refers to a “detection circuit for a display panel”. The above-captioned application contains claims directed to a “process to fabricate nanopores and micropores.”

On February 12, 2007, Applicant contacted Examiner Lewis to discuss the possibility that this office action has been sent in error.


On June 20, 2007, the matter was still open on the PAIR system and no action had yet been taken. Examiner Lewis was contacted to inquire as to the status. Applicant was assured that the office action would be withdrawn. Accordingly, attached is a copy of an Examiner Interview in which such action is noted.

Accordingly, no further action is required.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-0219, under Order No. 0012136.00131US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 16, 2007

  
Mary Rose Scozzafava  
Registration No.: 36,268  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109  
(617) 526-6000 (telephone)  
(617) 526-5000 (facsimile)